KH 222

3 **2** 001/007

202.632-

To:

Federal Communications Commission

6975

From:

Angela K. Campbell, Legislative Assistant to

Congresswoman Olympia Snowe

Date:

April 20, 1994

Subject: MD Docket No. 94-19

Attached is a copy of the letter dated April 15 and sent to your office on April 18, to be part of the public comment period on the assessment and collection of regulatory fees for FY94 (MD Docket No. 94-19)



THIS LETTER CONTAINS ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES OF NINE MEMBERS who also wish to be part of the public record.

 ∞

CHIMBRES FEES FOREIGN AFFAIRS **BUDGET COMMITTEE**

WASHINGTON UNFICE. 2788 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-1902

(202) 225-6306

OLYMPIA J SNOWE 20 DISTRICT MAINS

Congress of the United States **Bouse of Representatives**

Mashington, **BC** 20515-1902

DISTRICT OFFICES:

ONE CUMMERLAND PLACE SUITE 305 BANGOR, ME 04401-5000 (207) 945-0432


TWO GREAT FALLS PLAZA SUITE 78 AUGURN, ME 04210-5813 (207) 786-2451

165 ACAGEMY ST ME 04769-3164

April 15, 1994

RECEIVED 12071 784-5124

The Honorable Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554



Dear Mr. Hundt:

We are writing regarding the implementation of Section 9 of the Communications Act of 1934, an issue of great concern to small broadcasters in our Districts.

Section 9 authorizes the Federal Communication's Commission (FCC) to assess and collect the fee amounts established by the Schedule of Regulatory Fees set forth in Section 9. Under the schedule, the fee amounts for commercial radio licensees will depend solely upon the designated class of the station involved. Fee amounts for commercial television licensees will vary depending on market size.

Under the proposed regulations, these fees will have a negative impact on small broadcasters. The current fee structure is not only inequitable, it disproportionately burdens small stations which may be forced to cut back on programming, or reduce public services, or make operational cutbacks, in order to make require tory fee payments. Some stations which operate on a very slim may be forced to go off of the air as a result of the requiretory fees. These actions would lead to a degradation of service, which clearly is not in the public interest.

We strongly urge the FCC to prevent this potential threat to the public interest. Under Section 9, the FCC has the discretion to waive, reduce, or defer the payment of regulatory license fees. montly, we recommend that the FCC take the following actions:

maider the market size and revenue bear a regulatory fee.

heler the proposed radio station licensing fees, radio twitions in the same class will pay the same regulatory fee munt, despite enormous differences in their service areas nd revenue bases. For example, small Class C FM stations in rural areas which have a potential audience of thousands

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

of listeners will pay the same fee as large Class C FM stations in Chicago, Los Angeles, or New York City, which have a potential audience of millions of listeners. We believe that revenue base should be considered in assessing fees so that small broadcasters are not disproportionately burdened.

 Grant waivers, reductions and deferments of the fees based on a showing of financial hardship.

Under the proposed rule, the FCC intends to interpret Section 9 (d) very narrowly and grant waivers, reductions or deferments of regulatory fees only in very unusual circumstances. We believe that the FCC should consider a showing of financial hardship as sufficient justification for a waiver, reduction or deferment. Small broadcasters with a tenuous financial position should not be further burdened with federal government regulatory fees.

Furthermore, under the proposed rule, broadcasters wishing to request a waiver or reduction in their fee must accompany their request with the appropriate regulatory fee. Stations in bankruptcy, receivership, or trustee ship, will be hard present to pay the fee. We believe it does not make sense to require a station requesting a waiver on the basis of financial hardship to be required to pay the fee.

3. Allew all broadcasters to pay their fees in installment.

Under the proposed rule, only those broadcasters whose fees total \$12,000 or more are permitted to pay their fees in two equal installments. We believe that the public interest would be better served if all broadcasters were allowed to pay by installments. This would ensure that broadcasters have sufficient funds year round to produce and purchase paymenting that serves the needs and interests of their individual communities.

We remarkfully, yet strongly, encourage you to consider these recommendate tions. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

RICHARD H. BAKER Member of Congress DICK SWEET OF Congress

DOUG BEREUTER Member of Congress

SOE SKREN

Member of Congress

BENUAMIN GILMAN Member of Congress

WILLIAM H. ZELIFF, JR. Member of Congress

BERNARD SANDERS Member of Congress

Charles W. Stenholm

CHARLES W. STENHOLM Member of Congress BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH Member of Congress

PERMIT

RALPH M HALL Member of Congress SAM GEJDENSON Member of Congress

PAT ROBERTS Member of Congress

JOHN W. OLVER Member of Congress

SCOTT KLUG Nember of Congress

Marilyon Hoyd

MARILYN LLOYD Member of Congress

TIM JOHNSON Member of Congress

NANCY L. JOHNSON Member of Congress

Tancy S. a moon

DARDEN of Congress JAMES L. OBERSTAR
Member of Congress

STEPHEN E. BUYER Member of Congress

PRED GREECY Member of Congress

BLWisa

ROBERT E. WISE, JR. Member of Congress Member of Congress

W. H. (Bib) Hygun

W.G. HEFNER Member of Congress

E. DE LA GARZA Member of Congress

DAVID LEVY Member of Congress

Member of Congress

MICHAEL CRAPO Mamber of Congress

BETH FURSE

Number of Congress

mber of Congress

TOWARL A. COLLINS Tember of Congress